

Applicant : Martin Blumenfeld, et al.
Serial No. : 09/852,375
Filed : May 10, 2001
Page : 21 of 21

Attorney's Docket No.: 09531-092001 / 99186

REMARKS

This paper responds to the Office Action mailed November 2, 2005. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-21, 23-94 and 105-112. Claims 82 and 85 have been amended to correct the typographical errors listed by the Examiner. In addition, a terminal disclaimer is attached to address the examiner's nonstatutory double patenting rejection, along with a check in the amount of \$65 to cover the fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d).


Applicants believe that these actions address all of the examiner's outstanding reasons for rejecting the claims, and request allowance of the pending claims

Enclosed is a \$510 check for the Petition for Extension of Time fee. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Date: _____

5/2/06

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Dragseth
Reg. No. 42,497

Fish & Richardson P.C., P.A.
60 South Sixth Street
Suite 3300
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 335-5070
Facsimile: (612) 288-9696